

Citywide Contiguous and Population-Balanced Maps

Plan Name	Type	Submitter Comments
Pinwheel 1	Citywide map	Drawn by NDC based on Council and public feedback at July 26 hearing. Based on “pinwheel” approach of Green, Mueller, Muirhead and Benevedo 1 maps, with multiple districts downtown and multiple districts on Monterey corridor. Adjusted to follow railroad tracks as district border in the north; to improve compactness in the center of the city, and to avoid splitting the southern part of the city. Pairs Councilmembers Constantine and Carr.
Pinwheel 2	Citywide map	Same as Pinwheel 1 except adjusted to avoid pairing Councilmembers.
Vertical 1	Citywide map	Drawn by NDC based on Council and public feedback at July 26 hearing. Based on Orange map with a district running north and south between Hwy 101 and Monterey Rd. / Railroad Rd., and District D unifying everything east of Hwy 101. Pairs Councilmembers Constantine and Carr.
Vertical 2	Citywide map	Same as Vertical 1 except adjusted to avoid pairing Councilmembers.
Horizontal 1	Citywide map	Drawn by NDC based on Council and public feedback at July 26 hearing. Based on “Lee 1b” map, adjusted to be more compact in the center of the city and to unify areas east of Hwy 101 in District D, with the three western districts ‘stacked’ vertically. Pairs Councilmembers Constantine and Spring.
Aragon 1b	Citywide map	Aragon 1 adjusted by NDC to avoid point contiguity and to make smallest possible changes to reach population balance.
Benevento 1	Citywide map	The population of each district is within specified parameters and each council member is in a separate district, unlike Mr. Muirhead (Carr/Constantine together). I am a bit concerned regarding the southern section, particularly Pop. Unit #s 35, 36 and 43 and contiguous nature of those population units in sections C & D.
Benevento 2b	Citywide map	Benevento 2 adjusted by NDC. District A is now contiguous, District B shifts west, and District C is still 51% Latino by total population.
Green	Citywide map	NDC-drawn map drawing heavily from Benevento 2.
Lee 1b	Citywide map	Lee 1 adjusted by NDC to make it contiguous with minimal changes to retain population balance. (Population units 33 and 34 traded between A and B.)
Mueller 1	Citywide map	One incumbent in each of four districts. I tried to provide one district with a majority Hispanic population. District C is 46.7% Hispanic.
Mueller 2	Citywide map	A slight revision to Map JoeM17-01 which raises the Hispanic population in District C.
Muirhead 1	Citywide map	This plan creates a South City District and divides the rest of the City into West, North and East districts. Since all 4 incumbents live north of Dunne, this forces them into 3 Districts.
Orange	Citywide map	NDC-drawn map. Keeps D entirely east of Hwy 101; B entirely between 101 and Monterey Rd.; C entirely west of Monterey Rd.

Plan Name	Type	Submitter Comments
Yellow	Citywide map	NDC-drawn map. Compact.
Downey 1 (7/26)	Citywide map	<p>Map logic apart from physical area and population target requirements: My logic is based on areas that have unique challenges and issues or have activities and impacts that are a common interest. Areas that have high industrial, agricultural, residential only, or future growth and challenges like construction HSR traffic agriculture or downtown activity. In my opinion downtown should be bigger on my map but can't do that due to population limits per district.</p> <p>A. Paradise and South West. Primarily residential low commercial engaged and active neighborhood some agricultural impacts. B. Dunne Ave Area. Primarily East of freeway. Mainly residential good mix of SF and MF agricultural impacts and activity. C. Cochrane Area - primarily the industrial commercial area but also the east side is highly populated and has development and future development growth and has to deal with Anderson Dam. D. Downtown Area. Unique area high commercial and retail high population concentration of low to moderate income high development impacts traffic impacts. I m open to edits as long as the objectives are met</p>

Single-District Maps

Plan Name	Type	Submitter Comments	NDC Notes
Muirhead 2	Single-district map	This plan, which is not population balanced, focuses on Monterey corridor as an extended PDA transit high-density housing and jobs. It does support incumbent protection. Creating compact and contiguous districts when neither the City boundaries nor the census blocks have well-behaved shapes is seriously annoying and I leave that to you professionals to make right. The concept of a PDA core is important."	Not population balanced.
Muirhead 3	Single-district map	This plan attempts to implement the Monterey Corridor as defined in the MH General Plan 2035. With a population of 7000 it is too small to be an actual district. The corridor has a higher percentage of the Hispanic population than other parts of the City this plan population is 60% Hispanic. Other considerations for the corridor are MTC ABAG Plan Bay Area 2040 and Priority Development Areas transit jobs dense housing . It does include one Council Member residence."	Not population balanced.

Maps that are Not Contiguous or Not Population-Balanced

Plan Name	Type	Submitter Comments	NDC Notes
Aragon 1	Citywide map	This is based on voter neighborhoods, where voting would naturally affect them individually, properly. A = North; B = East of Hwy 101; C = Downtown corridor; D = Southern	Not population balanced. Only point contiguity (and contiguous across county islands).
Lee 1	Citywide map	It attempts to keep neighborhoods of similar interests/concerns together in a district. It is not ideal due to population requirements - there is at least one neighborhood that is in a different district than what I think it should be. But after spending time working on various maps, this is the one that I think works the best based on the requirements.	Population balanced but not contiguous.
Benevento 2	Citywide map	It again has all four council seats in separate districts. However District C now has a Hispanic majority [total] population and a Hispanic majority VAP. I am concerned regarding the physical nature of District A however. Also District A is below the ideal population parameters.	Population balanced but not contiguous.