

[Note from Douglas Johnson: below are the map- and community-related excerpts from a series of emails on primarily technical issues between Mr. Diamond and myself.]

From: Greg Diamond

To: Douglas Johnson

I'm turning in a corrected map for Map 5, which I'm designating as **Map 5A2**. (If you prefer that it be just **5A** or **5A Corrected**, that's fine with me.) **I am also including a second five-district map.** This should be known as **Map 5B**. All it does is switch three districts among from Map 5A2 in a round robin.

The easiest way to tell this maps apart is to look at District 18, right above the 5 freeway. In 5A, it was in District 2. (Gold, in my map.) In 5A2, the corrected version, it s in District 1. (Purple, in my map.) In 5B, the new map, it is in District 3. (Green, in my map.)

MAP 5A2

District 61 going from District 2 to District 4 is fine. Please also make the following changes so that I can ensure that the District 2 retains a 50% CVAP:

Move District 37 from District 4 to District 2

Move District 18 from District 2 to District 1

That shows up as 50% on the statistical table.

My concern, however, is that if anyone besides me checks closely, it's not actually a majority. It's actually 49.9765%, which rounds to 50%. I have therefore also created Map 5B -- which I prefer as a little more compact and straightforward -- which also exceeds 50% without rounding.

MAP 5B

To change Map 5A into Map 5B, I move District 37 back from District 4 to District 2, move **Population Unit 18** from District 1 to District 3, **Population Unit 49** from District 4 to District 2, and **Population Unit 59** from District 3 to District 4.

MAP 5C

5C, as I'll call it, has slightly better numbers, not much worse shape, and it keeps the total deviation down to a little over 3%.

CONCLUSION

As for which I favor, 5B is a little more compact and 5C a little more balanced, so it really depends on what criterion they think is more important. Whichever they favor, one of these maps will suit them.